

Projects (1)

- Teamwork is crucial to project success!
 - You need everyone's effort
 - You need to talk about concerns
 - You need to make sure everyone feels good about working in your team
- Keep in mind:
 - People do have other responsibilities
 - 9/12 unit split
 - We will have peer evals

Projects (2)

- Project budgets
 - Can fund projects up to ~\$1000
 - -... but **MUST** be well justified
 - Mostly for paying participants
- Project mentors
 - Private browsing, IoT -> Lujo
 - All others -> Nicolas
 - Meet with mentors every week to ten days

Projects (3)

- Next hard deadline: Feb 29 submission to IRB
- Next soft deadline: Feb 22 first version of IRB application to Abby for feedback

11 – Security Warnings

Lujo Bauer, Nicolas Christin, and Abby Marsh

February 17, 2015

05-436 / 05-836 / 08-534 / 08-734 / 19-534 / 19-734 Usable Privacy and Security



Engineering & Public Policy



Computer security dialogs

 Small pop-up windows that interrupt the user to present a security decision to be made by the user





- Why is this a bad user interface?
 - No clear statement of risk (e.g., "The server might not be who it claims")
 - Missing explanation of consequences (e.g., "A third party could gain access to the information you create or share with this application")
 - No instructions on how to make situation better (e.g., "Check the certificate and decide if you trust this site...")

What is the problem?

- Dialogs communicate risks; if ignored, people expose themselves to avoidable harm
- Dialogs must
 - Get users attention (even after habituation)
 - Effectively communicate, give meaningful choices, etc.
- Studying computer user reactions to security dialogs is extremely difficult

Participants behave differently in studies

- Schechter et al. (2007) showed participants behave differently when role playing
- Authors emphasized the importance of:
 - Ecological validity
 - Ethical concerns:
 - Researchers are obligated to minimize harm
 - Yet harm must be credible

Stuart E. Schechter, Rachna Dhamija, Andy Ozment, and Ian Fischer. The Emperor's New Security Indicators: An evaluation of website authentication and the effect of role playing on usability studies. Oakland 2007.

Lab studies are effective but costly

- Egelman et al. (2008) studied effectiveness of browser phishing dialogs:
 - Participants bought items with their credit cards, and were sent spear phishing emails
 - Experiment was effective but expensive, much effort, ethically challenging
 - Interesting observations about mental models associated with phishing warnings

Ecological validity is crucial

- Sunshine et al. (2009) studied effectiveness of SSL certificate dialogs:
 - Realistic tasks with simulated man-in-themiddle attack, but:
 - Participants used lab computer
 - Browser choice was imposed on users
 - Required much negotiation with university lawyers

Methodology requirements

- Desired
 - Massive, inexpensive, quick data collection
 - Remote observation/recording/replay of user behavior
 - Flexibility to conduct different between-subjects experiments
- Avoid
 - Perceived safety due to "participation in experiment"
 - Incentives to behave differently than in real life
 - Risk higher than in real life

Online game ruse methodology



A software installation decision

- Triggered by OS when user installs an application
- Security advice: "Only install this software if you trust this publisher with complete control of your computer"

1



Participant decision design

- Workers in Amazon's Mechanical Turk aim to:
 - Complete the tasks they accept (otherwise, don't earn money)
 - Minimize the time and effort in each task (each accepted task has an opportunity cost)
- Our message to participants:
 - "You may skip a game. If you do, we will assign you another"
- The decision was designed to gamble time/money for security:
 - Install \rightarrow Take small risk, play the game, finish sooner
 - Not install \rightarrow Not take any risks, not play the game, waste time

Amazon Mechanical Turk ×/ 🔘 Carnegie Mellon University ×

Saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/vacot/mnt/wtk/survey/index.php?t=1&i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2 C

Online Games Evaluation Study, You are currently using Microsoft Windows 7.

Online games evaluation survey

Carnegie Mellon University is conducting a study about online games evaluation. Please read the online consent below to participate in this study.

Purpose of the study

This survey is part of a research study conducted by Dr. Julie Downs at Carnegie Mellon University. The purpose of this study is to evaluate online games according to criteria that will be explained in the next pages. You will be asked to go to websites, play a game for 2 to 3 minutes, then return to this survey to give us your opinion on each. The whole survey should take you between 15 and 20 minutes in total.

Participants requirements

Participation in this study is limited to individuals age 18 and older. You have to physically be in the United States of America to be eligible to participate in this study, and not having taken before any early version of the same survey.

Risks, benefits, and compensation

The risks and discomfort associated with participation in this study are no greater than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during other online activities. There may be no personal benefit from your participation in the study but the knowledge received may be of value to humanity. You will receive \$1.00 as a compensation for participation in this study. There will be no cost to you if you participate in this study.

The data captured for the research does not include any personally identifiable information about you. We will collect your IP address only to check whether you qualify for the study.

Confidentiality

By participating in this research, you understand and agree that Carnegie Mellon may be required to disclose your consent form, data and other personally identifiable information as required by law, regulation, subpoena or court order. Otherwise, your confidentiality will be maintained in the



23

a Amazon M	echanical Turk × 🔘 Carnegie Mellon University ×		
⇒ C	Saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/yacot/mnt/wtk/survey/index.php?t=1&i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2	☆ 0	Bo
	the CMU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently using Microsoft Windows 7. nes evaluation survey		
Instruct	ions to evaluate the game:		
ga	lick on the link below to open the game. When the page loads, click on the button "Click to play online" on the left of your screen. V me to load.	Vait for the	
	Then the game has loaded completely, play the game "Mars Buggy Online" for about 2 to 3 minutes. eturn to this survey to answer the questions below.		
	Assigned game #1: Mars Buggy Online http://www.gametop.com/online-free-games/mars-buggy-online/?i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2		
	Attention: The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content.		
1. Were	you able to play the game? *		
© 7	/es		
0 P	No (you will be assigned another game to evaluate)		
	Next		





C	Saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/yacot/mnt/v	utk/cun/ou/indow	nhn2t-1						삸	0	
102			bubut=1	XI=AZINUX/	AJFPAA4ZZ				W	V	
	of the CMU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently usir re you able to play the game? *	ng Microsoff Windows 7.									
	ie jou uoie to piuj the game.										
۲	Yes										
0	N. Grandlin Landson (
0	No (you will be assigned another game to	evaluate)									
Please	e enter here a one-sentence description of	the game you pla	a <mark>yed (be</mark> t	ween 10 an	d 50 words	: *					
		(#P.9) 25.51 23	22.0		8						
A	buggy on mars has to collect astron	nauts.				J					
12						h					
						h					
Please	answer the following questions about the	game vou nlave	d• *								
Please	e answer the following questions about the	game you played	d: *)							
Please	e answer the following questions about the	game you played Yes	d: * No								
	e answer the following questions about the ave you ever played this game before?										
Ha	ave you ever played this game before?	Yes	No ©								
Ha		Yes	No								
Ha	ave you ever played this game before?	Yes	No ©								
Ha Do	ave you ever played this game before?	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wir	ndows, whe	n runnin;	g on your c	omputer/brow	wser?	*	
Ha Do	ave you ever played this game before? 9 you think this game is fun?	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wit	ndows, whe	n runnin;	g on your c	omputer/brov	wser?	*	
Ha Do Did th	ave you ever played this game before? 9 you think this game is fun?	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wit	ndows, whe	n runnin;	g on your co	omputer/brov		*	
Ha Do Did th	ave you ever played this game before? by you think this game is fun? I e game have any visual glitches, such as s Yes (please explain briefly)	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wi	ndows, whe	n runnin;	g on your c	omputer/brov			
Ha Do Did th	ave you ever played this game before? 9 you think this game is fun? 9 game have any visual glitches, such as s	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wit	ndows, whe	n runnin;	g on your c	omputer/brov			
Ha Do Did th	ave you ever played this game before? by you think this game is fun? I e game have any visual glitches, such as s Yes (please explain briefly)	Yes O	No ©	rlapping wit	ndows, whe	n runnin	g on your c	omputer/brov			
Ha Do Did th	ave you ever played this game before? by you think this game is fun? I e game have any visual glitches, such as s Yes (please explain briefly)	Yes O	No ©	6700 g 198	ndows, whe	n runnin;		omputer/brov		*	

	n of the CMU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently using averyour ever prayed this game before:	E Microsoft windows 7.					
De	o you think this game is fun?	0	O				
Did th	he game have any visual glitches, such as s	talls in animati	ons or overlapping window	s, when running on your o	computer/browser?	*	
0	Yes (please explain briefly)]	*	
۲	No						
Did yo	ou see any visual content or language that Yes (please explain briefly)	might be inapp	ropriate for children under	12 years old? *		÷	
	ou see any visual content or language that Yes (please explain briefly)	might be inapp	ropriate for children under	12 years old? *		÷	
0	Yes (please explain briefly)			12 years old? *		*	
0	Yes (please explain briefly)			12 years old? *		*	
0	Yes (please explain briefly) No there any other aspect of the game that you			12 years old? *		*	
© @ Was t	Yes (please explain briefly) No there any other aspect of the game that you Yes (please explain briefly)			12 years old? *		*	

a test version of the CM	saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/yacot/mnt/wtk/survey/index.php?t=1&i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2 AU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently using Microsoft Windows 7.	삸		
	IU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently using Microsoft Windows 7.	in proch	0	B
	evaluation survey			
Instructions	to evaluate the game:			
	on the link below to open the game. or the game to load. When it's fully loaded, play the game "Tom and Jerry Refrigerator Raid Game" for about 2 to 3 minutes.			
	to this survey to answer the questions below.	_		
	Assigned game #2: Tom and Jerry Refrigerator Raid Game			
	http://www.free-online-games-to-play.net/games/kidsgames/onlineflashgame/751/?i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2	J	19	
	<u>Attention</u> : The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content.			
2. Were you	to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content.			
© Yes				
No (y)	ou will be assigned another game to evaluate)			





C Saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/yacot/mnt/v	wtk/survey/index	.php?t=1&i=A2NUXAJFP	AX4Z2	5	0
version of the CMU Online Games Evaluation Study. You are currently usi	ing Microsoft Windows 7.				
. Were you able to play the game? *					
Yes					
No (you will be assigned another game to	o evaluate)				
A boring Tom-and-Jerry game, may be			words).		
lease answer the following questions about the	e game you playe	d: *			
lease answer the following questions about the		d: * No			
lease answer the following questions about the Have you ever played this game before?	e game you playo Yes ©				
Please answer the following questions about the Have you ever played this game before? Do you think this game is fun?	Yes	No			
Have you ever played this game before?	Yes ©	No	vs, when running on your comp		*

 				×
nline games evaluation survey Instructions to evaluate the game: 1. Click on the link below to open the game. 2. Whit for the game to load. When it's fully loaded, play the game "Colliderix Level Pack" for about 2 to 3 minutes. 3. Return to this survey to answer the questions below. Assigned game #3: Colliderix Level Pack <u>http://www.yourgamefactory.net/wtk/games/index.u1.php?i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2</u> Attentiou: The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content. 4. Were you able to play the game? *	C Saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/yacot/mnt/wtk/survey/index.php?t=1&i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2	公 (08	0
 1. Click on the link below to open the game. 2. Wait for the game to load. When it's fully loaded, play the game "Colliderix Level Pack" for about 2 to 3 minutes. 3. Return to this survey to answer the questions below. Assigned game #3: Colliderix Level Pack <u>http://www.yourgamefactory.net/wtk/games/index.u1.php?i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2</u> Attention: The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content. 4. Were you able to play the game?*				
 2. Wait for the game to load. When it's fully loaded, play the game "Colliderix Level Pack" for about 2 to 3 minutes. 3. Return to this survey to answer the questions below. Assigned game #3: Colliderix Level Pack <u>Attention</u>: The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content. 4. Were you able to play the game?* Yes	Instructions to evaluate the game:			
 <u>http://www.yourgamefactory.net/wtk/games/index.u1.php?i=A2NUXAJFPAX4Z2</u> <u>Attention</u>: The website whose URL appears above is external to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content. 4. Were you able to play the game? * Yes 	2. Wait for the game to load. When it's fully loaded, play the game "Colliderix Level Pack" for about 2 to 3 minutes.			
to this study. Our researchers <u>do not</u> control its content. 4. Were you able to play the game? * Yes]	
© Yes				
No (vou will be assigned another game to evaluate)	4. Were you able to play the game? *			
Next	 Yes No (you will be assigned another game to evaluate) 			







Habituation experiments

- "Your Attention Please" paper showed that some attractors performed better than control in presence of habituation
 - But those attractors also performed better without habituation
- Can attractors actually eliminate or reduce effects of habituation?
 - How can we test this

Habituation experiment

- Show a dialog repeatedly with irrelevant message
- Ask participants to click "Yes"
- Change salient field to "Click on No"
- Check if participants notice the change and click "No"



CM	11	Ц		÷.,	ati	ion	Stu	~
							000	

← → C 🗋 surveygizmo.com/s3/1125524/A

CMU Habituation Study

In the following page you will see a timer on the screen, and a number of consecutive dialogs (pop-up windows) asking you to click 'Yes' or 'No'. Your task is to respond to as many dialogs as you can before the timer goes off. You can increase your performance by following instructions and responding to each question quickly. Some dialogs may require you to wait or perform an action before the 'Yes' button is activated.

Those who perform well may be rewarded with opportunities to finish the study early while still receiving their full payment. After finishing the task, you will have to answer a short survey.

When you are

Those who perform well may be rewarded with opportunities to finish the study early while still receiving their full payment.

32







C 🗅 www.yourgamefactory.net/wtk/habit/index.php?i=Atestingtest&v=3

Carnegie Mellon University study

×

02:24

EN

×

_ 0

x

Ξ

53

36

诸 🔒 🌒 .atl

Your input is required to proceed

Status: Press the No option below to finish this study early.

We are studying how you respond to pop-up windows like this one. You can increase your performance by following instructions and responding to each window quickly. Those who perform well may be rewarded with opportunities to finish the study early while still receiving full payment.

Would you like to see another pop-up window?

- ✤ Yes, please show me another pop-up window
- No, do not show me another pop-up window







CMU Pop-up dialogs stud 🗙



1. Please type in the contents of the "Status:" field in the most-recently shown dialog, to the best of your memory. If you have no memory, please type "none": *

None





ж

Experimental design

- {6 dialogs} x {4 exposure conditions} = 24 conditions
 - Dialogs: Control, Swipe, Type, AC + Delay, Reveal, ANSI
 - Exposure to 'irrelevant message': 1 exposure, 3 exposures, 20 exposures, 150 sec. of exposure
- Two phases:
 - Habituation phase: participants are shown irrelevant message, they could only click on "Yes"
 - Test phase: participants are asked to click "No"

Swipe and Type are resilient to habituation



N=2,567 participants, 29.4 years old (σ =10.1), 55% male, 77% caucasian. Top two reported occupations: 'student' (25%), 'unemployed' (15%).

- Control and ANSI (red) are not significantly different
- Reveal and AC+Delay (purple) have same performance of Control and ANSI, but with higher compliance rate
- Swipe and Type (green) show steady or increasing compliance rates
 41



- Why is this a bad user interface?
 - No clear statement of risk (e.g., "The server might not be who it claims")
 - Missing explanation of consequences (e.g., "A third party could gain access to the information you create or share with this application")
 - No instructions on how to make situation better (e.g., "Check the certificate and decide if you trust this site...")

NEAT and SPRUCE

Rob Reeder, Ellen Cram Kowalczyk, and Adam Shostack. Poster: Helping engineers design NEAT security warnings. SOUPS 2011. http://cups.cs.cmu.edu/soups/2011/posters/soups_posters-Reeder.pdf

Microsoft[®]

Ask yourself: Is your security or privacy UX:

NECESSARY? Can you change the architecture to eliminate or defer this user decision?

EXPLAINED? Does your UX present all the information the user needs to make this decision? **Have you followed SPRUCE? (see back)**

ACTIONABLE? Have you determined a set of steps the user will realistically be able to take to make the decision correctly?

TESTED?

Have you checked that your UX is NEAT for all scenarios, both benign and malicious?

NEAT

When you involve the user in a NEAT security or privacy decision, explain the decision using these 6 elements:

SOURCE: State who or what is asking the user to make a decision
PROCESS: Give the user actionable steps to follow to make a good decision
RISK: Explain what bad thing could happen if the user makes the wrong decision
UNIQUE KNOWLEDGE user has: Tell the user what information they bring to the decision
CHOICES: List available options and clearly recommend one
EVIDENCE: Highlight information the user should factor in or exclude in making the decision

SPRUCE For more info, contact **neatux@microsoft.com**

Class assignment

- USB flash drives can spread infections in a number of ways. See http://www.cioinsight.com/security/the-dangers-of-unsecured-usb-drives
- Attackers may distribute infected flash drives by leaving them around where employees of a target company are likely to pick them up. In addition, a user who uses a flash drive to exchange files with another user whose machine is already infected, may pick up the infection on the flash drive and bring it to their own machine. Some companies are prohibiting their employees form using flash drives, but others are just asking their employees to be careful.
- Imagine a security tool that runs on a user's computer and monitors the USB ports, looking for programs that run automatically when a flash drive is plugged in. When an autorun program is detected it prevents it from running and displays a warning. The warning dialog offers users the option of letting the program run.
- Your first task (to be done in class) is to design the warning using the design tool at: <u>http://saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/~cbravo/woda/</u>
- You may do this yourself or work with someone else. If you are not in class, do this at home. Use the NEAT and SPRUCE guidelines as you develop your design. <u>http://cups.cs.cmu.edu/soups/2011/posters/soups_posters-Reeder.pdf</u>

Homework assignment

- Your next task (to be done at home and turned in with your homework) is to critique someone else's warning. Go to <u>http://saucers.cups.cs.cmu.edu/~cbravo/woda/</u>
- Critique the warning that was submitted immediately before yours. If you submitted the first one then critique the last warning submitted. Please write one bullet point addressing each of the NEAT and SPRUCE messages. Then briefly discuss any additional factors you think might be relevant that are not addressed by NEAT and SPRUCE.