## 05- Surveys, interviews, focus groups, diary studies

Lorrie Cranor and Blase Ur

January 28, 2014

05-436 / 05-836 / 08-534 / 08-734 Usable Privacy and Security **Carnegie** Mellon University CyLab

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Engineering & Public Policy



### Today!

- Homework
- Class presentations
- Mechanical Turk
- The four papers you read for today
  - Methodology
  - Presentation

#### Homework

• Singular vs. plural

– Jargon, feedback, text, research

- Facebook hacking paper and ethics
- Identify what you did if you had choices

#### Validity

- Ecological validity: the study materials, methods, and setting approximate the real thing in the real world
- External validity: the experiment can be generalized to other groups of people or other scenarios

#### Amazon's Mechanical Turk



Image from http://www.salon.com

#### MTurk

- Human intelligence tasks (HITs)
- Studies usually start with consent form
- Pay relatively low wages (ethics concerns)
- Quality control necessary
  - Lots of shady folks; lots of good folks
  - Can be done through obvious questions
  - Can be done through open-ended questions
- Don't need to host study on Mturk

#### Mturk: Pilot study about ponies

- Do you like ponies?
  - 15 people responded "Yes"
  - 3 people responded "Both yes and no"
  - -1 mean, mean person responded "No"
  - 1 person left it blank
- What would you name your pony and why?
  - Rainbow, Klip-klop, Peter, Abe, Pony, Ben, Shadow, Lightning, Alpha, Pony, Pony, Gracious, Pepper, Toby Two-Timer, Old Tony, Big Boy, Belle, Luna, Shorty, Spirit

"I regretted the minute I pressed share": A Qualitative Study of Regrets on Facebook

Wang et al. SOUPS 2011

- Interviews, user diaries, and online surveys
- 569 American Facebook users
- Why they make posts they later regret (and how to help them avoid these regrets)

- "Hot" states, etc.

- 268 responses on Facebook's blog asking privacy questions → 3 main themes
- 18+ American Facebook users from Mturk
  - Paid \$0.50
  - 321 valid responses after looking at short completion time, inconsistent answers, or offtopic answers to free-response questions

- Pre-questionnaire for interviews
- Used Pittsburgh Craigslist
- "Selected" 19 users from 301 prospective participants
- Compensated \$20
- Audio recorded and transcribed interviews, along with screen shots

 "One author coded the interviewee data and categorized it post-hoc into a list of common themes."

- Diary study
  - 12 of the 19 participants from the interview participated at least one day
  - Friend requests, activities, changes to profile or settings
  - "Have you posted something on Facebook and then regretted doing it? Why and what happened?"
  - 22+ days of entries  $\rightarrow$  \$15

- Survey 2 about regrets
- Compensation \$0.50
- 492 valid responses from MTurk

# Facebook and Privacy: It's Complicated

Johnson et al.

**SOUPS 2012** 

#### It's complicated

- 260 Facebook users installed a Facebook app "that surveyed their privacy concerns, their friend network compositions, the sensitivity of posted content, and their privacy-preserving strategies."
- Asked targeted questions about randomly selected posts
- 16.5% of participants had at least one post they didn't want to share

#### It's complicated

- Survey with three sections
  - Section 1: General questions about Facebook usage
  - Section 2: Level of concern with general scenarios
  - Section 3: Used Facebook API
    - Questions about 9 randomly selected friends
    - Questions about 10 randomly selected posts

#### It's complicated

- Recruited from ResearchMatch
  - "Recruiting email did not mention privacy"
  - 260 respondents entered into drawing for five
    \$100 gift cards

"I read my Twitter the next morning and was astonished" A Conversational Perspective on Twitter Regrets

Sleeper et al. CHI 2013

#### Twitter regrets

- Mturk survey of 1,221 participants
- Compared conversational regrets and Twitter regrets
- Messages that gave TMI "were reported at a higher rate for Twitter"
- Strategies for avoiding and coping with regret on Twitter

### Twitter regrets

- Note the hypotheses in the introduction
- Why did they screen for Twitter users age 18+ in the USA?
  - How do you screen/advertise?
- Is conversational regret the right parallel?
- Do findings reflect all regrets?
- How was Mturk quality control done?

### Twitter regrets

- How was the data coded?
- Self-reported data
- Reverse causality (regret→state of being?)
- Discussion of strategies

The Many Faces of Facebook: Experiencing Social Media as Performance, Exhibition, and Personal Archive

Zhao et al.

#### CHI 2013

- Diary study of 13 participants
- Goffman's performance region, Hogan's exhibition region
  - Added personal region
- Conducted 6 months after Timeline
  - 7 of 13 participants had already adopted
    Timeline; others were asked to adopt it

- Used Facebook ads to recruit in local community (Ithaca, NY)
  - Used Facebook for years, "generated significant amount of social media content"
- Participants compensated \$15
  - Pre-survey (demographics, Facebook use)
  - Daily online diary for two weeks about "whether they had updated or changed their profiles... reviewed their own or others' past content... managed past content" 25

- One-hour interview in lab at end
  - Logged into Facebook account
  - Asked general questions about Facebook management, as well as questions about past content, offline archiving, other social tools

- Iterative analysis
  - Four researchers met for open coding
  - Iteratively refined categories and subcategories
  - Then two researchers coded each interview with TAMS Analyzer
  - Face-to-face meetings with concept charting