12- A whirlwind tour of statistics

Lorrie Cranor, Blase Ur, and Rich Shay

February 19, 2015

05-436 / 05-836 / 08-534 / 08-734 Usable Privacy and Security Carnegie Mellon University CyLab

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Engineering & Public Policy



Today! Statistics!

- The main idea and building blocks
- Statistical tests for the kinds of practical questions you might want to ask
- Hypothesis testing
- Major tests you'll see
- Non-independent data

Important Note

 In some cases in today's lecture, we will intentionally be imprecise (and sometimes not technically accurate) about certain concepts. We are trying to give you some intuition for these concepts without extensive formal background.

Statistics

- In general: analyzing and interpreting data
- Statistical hypothesis testing: is it unlikely the data would like this unless there is actually a difference in real life?
- Statistical correlations: are these things related?

What kind of data do you have?

- Quantitative
 - Discrete (The number of ponies we have)
 - Continuous (A pony's age)
- Categorical
 - Nominal- no order (Color of the pony)
 - Ordinal- ordered (Is the pony super cool, cool, a little cool, or uncool)

- I split subjects into each using one of two systems, and they each indicated whether or not they liked the system at the end.
 - Does the assigned system impact whether or not they liked it? (Pearson's Chi-squared, etc.)

- I measured some numerical value from subjects using each assigned system.
 - Are the values bigger in one system or the other? (ANOVA, etc. for normal data; Mann-Whitney U / Kruskal-Wallis for non-normal)

- I measured two or more values in an experiment.
 - Are these values related (correlated) to each other? (Pearson's or Spearman's correlation coefficients)

- I measured some output value or values (dependent variables) and a bunch of input values (independent variables) in an experiment.
 - I'm curious what input factors (if any) impact the output. (Regressions!)

Hypotheses

- Null hypothesis: There is no difference
- Alternative hypothesis: There is a difference
- You generally either "reject the null hypothesis" (find evidence in support of the alternative hypothesis) or "fail to reject the null hypothesis" (do not find evidence in support of the alternative hypothesis) except with very large samples

P values

- What is the probability that the data would look like this if there's no actual difference?
- Most often, $\alpha = 0.05$
 - If p < 0.05, reject null hypothesis; there is a "significant" difference between Foo and Bar
 - You don't say that something is "more significant" because the p value is lower

P values

- Type I error (false positive)
 - You would expect this to happen 5% of the time if $\alpha = 0.05$
- What happens if you conduct a lot of statistical tests in one experiment?
- Many methods for "correcting" p values
 - Bonferroni correction (multiply p values by the number of tests) is the easiest to calculate but most conservative

P values

• Type II error (false negative)

There is actually a difference, but you didn't see evidence of a difference

- Statistical power is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis if you should
 - You could do a **power analysis**, but this requires that you estimate the effect size

(Pearson's) Chi-squared (χ^2) Test

- (Not covered today) Goodness of fit: Does the distribution we observed differ from a theoretical distribution?
- Test of independence: Are two variables independent of each other?
 - Correlation example: Is gender (male, female) correlated with a pony's favorite color?
 - Causation example: If we feed a pony hay, is it more likely to think privacy is important than if we feed it pop-tarts?

Contingency tables

• Rows are one variable, columns the other

createAnnoying			rencages:			
Count	:8:					
	0	1		0	1	
0	161	32	0	"83.42%"	"16.58%"	
1	165	33	1	"83.33%"	"16.67%"	
2	168	34	2	"83.17%"	"16.83%"	
3	170	30	3	"85%"	"15%"	
4	164	32	4	"83.67%"	"16.33%"	
5	161	35	5	"82.14%"	"17.86%"	
6	167	32	6	"83.92%"	"16.08%"	
7	129	60	7	"68.25%"	"31.75%"	
8	128	61	8	"67.72%"	"32.28%"	
9	154	40	9	"79.38%"	"20.62%"	
10	153	40	10	"79.27%"	"20.73%"	
11	154	38	11	"80.21%"	"19.79%"	
12	142	42	12	"77.17%"	"22.83%"	
13	121	67	13	"64.36%"	"35.64%"	
14	124	76	14	"62%"	"38%"	

• $\chi^2 = 97.013$, df = 14, p = 1.767e-14

Contrasts

- If we determine that the variables are dependent, we may compare conditions
- Planned vs. unplanned contrasts
 - You have a limited number of planned contrasts (depending on the DF) for which you don't need to correct p values.
- If you perform unplanned/post-hoc comparisons, be sure to correct p values!

Chi-squared (χ^2) Notes

- Use χ² if you are testing if one categorical variable (usually the assigned condition or a demographic factor) impacts another categorical variable
 - If you have fewer than 5 data points in a single cell, use Fisher's Exact Test
- Do not use χ^2 if you are testing quantitative outcomes!

Choosing a numerical test

• Do your data follow a normal (Gaussian) distribution? (You can calculate this!)



Image from http://www.wikipedia.org

- If so, use parametric tests. If not, use nonparametric tests
- Are your data independent?

- If not, repeated-measures, mixed models, etc.

Numerical data

- Are values bigger in one group?
- Normal, continuous data (compare mean):
 - 2 conditions: t-test
 - 3+ conditions: ANOVA
- Non-normal data / ordinal data (does one group tend to have larger values?)
 - 2 conditions: Mann-Whitney U (AKA Wilcoxon rank-sum test)
 - 3+ conditions: Kruskal-Wallis

What are Likert-scale data?

- Respond to the following statement: Ponies are magical.
 - -7: Strongly agree
 - 6: Agree
 - 5: Mildly agree
 - -4: Neutral
 - 3: Mildly disagree
 - -2: Disagree
 - 1: Strongly disagree

What are Likert-scale data?

- Some people treat it as continuous (meh!)
- Other people treat it as ordinal (ok!)

– You can use Mann-Whitney U / Kruskal-Wallis

 A simple way to compare the data is to "bin" (group) the data into binary "agree" and "not agree" categories (ok!)

– You can use χ^2

Password meter annoying



Correlation

- Usually less good: Pearson correlation
 - Requires that both variables be normally distributed
 - Only looks for a linear relationship
- Often preferred: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's ρ)
 - Evaluates a relationship's monotonicity (always going in the same direction or staying the same)

Regressions

- What is the relationship among variables?
 - Generally one outcome (dependent variable)Often multiple factors (independent variables)
- The type of regression you perform depends on the outcome
 - Binary outcome: logistic regression
 - Ordinal outcome: ordinal / ordered regression
 - Continuous outcome: linear regression

Example regression

- Outcome: completed pony race (or not)
- Independent variables:
 - Age
 - Number of prior races
 - Diet: hay or pop-tarts
 - (Indicator variables for color categories)
 - Etc.

Interactions in a regression

- Normally, outcome = $ax_1 + bx_2 + c + ...$
- Interactions account for situations when two variables are not simply additive. Instead, their interaction impacts the outcome
 - e.g., Maybe brown horses, and only brown horses, get a much larger benefit from eating pop-tarts before a race
- Outcome = $ax_1 + bx_2 + c + d(x_1x_2) + ...$

Example regression

Cumulative Link Mixed Model fitted with the adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature approximation with 20 quadrature points

formula: correct ~ gender + chosen + programming + age + alreadydid + experiment + chosen * experiment + (1 | uid) data: data

link threshold nobs logLik AIC niter max.grad cond.H logit flexible 1832 -745.62 1565.24 53(13128) 1.23e-05 6.2e+05

Random effects:

Var Std.Dev uid 0.7885 0.888 Number of groups: uid 223

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Sta. Error	z vaiue	Pr(> z)	
genderI prefer not to answer	0.475650	1.308540	0.363	0.716234	
genderMale	-0.017708	0.205080	-0.086	0.931192	
chosenb	-1.739132	0.472334	-3.682	0.000231	***
chosenc	0.644282	0.630716	1.022	0.307014	
chosend	0.571554	0.600672	0.952	0.341339	
chosene	1.541800	0.778734	1.980	0.047717	*
chosenf	-0.481121	0.510956	-0.942	0.346393	
choseng	-3.726763	0.503302	-7.405	1.32e-13	***
chosenh	-1.706179	0.479596	-3.558	0.000374	* * *
choseni	-0.280454	0.530171	-0.529	0.596813	
chosenj	-0.348918	0.521329	-0.669	0.503313	
programming1	-0.208038	0.580828	-0.358	0.720213	
age	-0.017786	0.008671	-2.051	0.040242	*
alreadydid	0.173464	0.041030	4.228	2.36e-05	***
experiments	0.139865	0.534377	0.262	0.793527	
chosenb:programming1	0.485281	0.656680	0.739	0.459913	
chosenc:programming1	0.278906	0.893211	0.312	0.754849	
chosend:programming1	1.243753	0.958374	1.298	0.194365	

experiment + chosen * programming + alreadydid *

What if you have lots of questions?

- If we ask 40 privacy questions on a Likert scale, how do we analyze this survey?
- One technique is to compute a "privacy score" by adding their responses
 - Make sure the scales are the same (e.g., don't add agreement with "privacy is dumb" and "privacy is smart"... reverse the scale)
 - You should verify that responses to the questions are correlated!

What if you have lots of questions?

- Another option: factor analysis, which evaluates the latent (underlying) factors
 - You specify N, a number of factors
 - Puts the questions into N groups based on their relationships
 - You should examine factor loadings (how well each latent factor correlates with a question)
 - Generally, you want questions to load primarily onto a single factor to be confident

In groups:

- What statistical analysis would you do?
 - You randomly assign ponies to have private stalls or public stalls. Does this assignment impact whether they finish their next race?
 - …and does this impact their finishing time?
 - You are analyzing interviews of 10 pony trainers and are reporting what these trainers think ponies say ("neigh," "ring-ding-ding," etc.)
 - Do gender, state of residence, and education level impact ponies' level of privacy concern?

Independence

- Why might your data in UPS experiments not be independent?
 - Non-independent sample (bad!)
 - The inherent design of the experiment (ok!)
- If you have two data points of ponies' race completion times (before and after some treatment), can you actually do a single test that assumes independence to compare conditions?

Non-independence

- Repeated measures (multiple measurements of the same thing)
 - e.g., before and after measurements of a pony's time to finish a race
- Paired t-test (two samples per participant, two groups)
- Repeated measures ANOVA (more general)

Non-independence

- For regressions, use a mixed model
 - "Random effects" based on hierarchy/group
- Case 1: Many measurements of each pony
- Case 2: The ponies have some other relationship. e.g., there are 100 ponies each trained by one of 5 trainers. The identity of the trainer might impact a whole class of ponies' performance.

Picking a test

- http://webspace.ship.edu/pgmarr/Geo441/Statistical%20T • est%20Flow%20Chart.pdf
- http://abacus.bates.edu/~ganderso/biology/resources/stati stics.html
- http://bama.ua.edu/~jleeper/627/choosestat.html
- http://med.cmb.ac.lk/SMJ/VOLUME%203%20DOWNLOA DS/Page%2033-37%20-%20Choosing%20the%20correct%20statistical%20test% 20made%20easy.pdf
- http://fwncwww14.wks.gorlaeus.net/images/home/news/Fl owchart2011.jpg